

2002
Consumer Satisfaction
for
Missouri Southeast Region
Regional Report
Residential Care Facility

Division of Comprehensive Psychiatric Services
Missouri Department of Mental Health



Christine Rinck, Ph.D., Project Director, Consumer Satisfaction
UMKC Institute for Human Development, a UCE
Kansas City, Missouri

Gary Harbison, MA, DMH Outcomes Coordinator,
Office of Quality Management

Christine Squibb, Director, Office of Consumer Affairs



Thanks to the many people who completed the survey and to the staff of participating agencies. Thanks to the members of the Consumer Satisfaction Work Group, the Outcomes Work Group and the Performance Measurement Group.



August 2002

Demographics

		Survey Returns	
		State	Region
SEX	Male	60.3%	65.2%
	Female	39.7%	34.8%
RACE	White	76.3%	89.8%
	Black	17.1%	8.7%
	Hispanic	0.6%	0%
	Native American	1.9%	0%
	Pacific Islander	0.4%	0%
	Alaskan Native	0.1%	0%
	Oriental	0.6%	1.2%
	Biracial	0.6%	0%
	Other	2.5%	0.4%
AGE		46.04	49.65
	0-17	0.1%	0%
	18-49	62.0%	52.6%
	50+	37.9%	47.4%
Region includes: Anna Dodson Boarding Home, Bayless Boarding Home, Frederick Street II, Goggins Boarding Home, Lake's Residential Care, Lone Pine Congregate Center, Sprigg Street Manor, Anna Dodson Nursing Home, Carriage Manor Care Center, Cedar Knoll RCF II, Colonial RCF II, Colonial Retirement Home, Country Gardens RCF II, Country Haven Boarding Home, Country Haven Bldg. II, Crawford Ranch, Diana's Boarding Home, Farmington Manor II, Ferndale, Inc., Frederick Street Manor, Harris Manor Care Center, Heritage Hills, Kenneth RCF II, L & J RCF II, Lone Pine Congregate, Lou Masterman Ctr., Maple Crest Manor, Maple Ridge, Mary's Ranch, Inc., New Horizons RCF II, Peach Residential Care, Texas County RCF II, and Whispering Oaks Boarding House.			

Sample Size

Information is based on the number of interviews and the number of people served according to DMH billing records.

	Number of Forms Sent	Number of Refusals	Number Who Received Assistance	Number Forms Returned	Percent of Served Returned
Total State	2711	48	244	1347	49.7%
Total Region	483	12	28	275	56.9%
*Unduplicated Count					

Medicaid

In 2002, the Consumer Satisfaction Survey asked questions about Medicaid. The results of those questions are below and represent the percentage of affirmative answers.

	Overall Totals	
	State	Region
Do you receive Medicaid?	93.1%	92.8%
<i>If yes, are you a member of an MC+ health plan?</i>	20.7%	9.5%


Consumer Preferences in Living Arrangements

The Department of Mental Health asked consumers *where they would prefer to live*. In addition, consumers were asked what resources were needed to live in their preferred locations. These questions are particularly important in light of the Olmstead Decision.¹

	Overall Region Totals	
	State	Region
<i>Where Would you prefer to live?</i>		
Where I am now	40.6%	57.5%
Group Home	4.8%	2.9%
Semi-Independent	10.7%	5.4%
Independent Apartment	28.5%	20.8%
With Family Member	11.5%	11.3%
Other	3.9%	2.1%
<i>What resources do you need?</i>		
Financial Assistance	44.0%	38.2%
More Mental Health Services	16.5%	17.8%
Assistance in learning how to take care of myself	17.4%	19.3%
Help to find and keep a job	30.1%	22.5%
Someone to stop by and help me with things, either regularly or when I call.	29.0%	29.8%
Someone to help me learn how to take care of my money	22.7%	24.7%

¹ The Supreme Court's Olmstead Decision (Olmstead v. L.C.) requires states to administer their services, programs, and activities "in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities."

Overall Satisfaction with Services



Program Satisfaction: Percent of responses to the question "How satisfied are you with the services you receive?"

Some of the key findings were:

- Overall, 67.8% of the individuals served by Residential Care Facilities were "satisfied" or "very satisfied" with their services.
- The percent of individuals served in this region who rated themselves as "satisfied" or "very satisfied" was higher than the state average (80.3% for this region versus 67.8% for the state).

Satisfaction with Services

How satisfied are you . . .	Total State RCF Services	Total Region RCF Services
with the staff who serve you?	3.88 (1223)	4.19 (257)
with how much your staff know about how to get things done?	3.93 (1229)	4.21 (256)
with how staff keep things about you and your life confidential?	3.87 (1209)	4.15 (253)
that your treatment plan has what you want in it?	3.80 (1204)	4.00 (253)
that your treatment plan is being followed by those who assist you?	3.84 (1198)	4.08 (251)
that the agency staff respect your ethnic and cultural background?	3.98 (1194)	4.24 (253)
with the services that you receive?	3.92 (1216)	4.23 (254)
that the staff treats you with respect, courtesy, caring, and kindness?	3.93 (1232)	4.20 (255)
that the environment is clean and comfortable?	4.01 (1230)	4.25 (254)
that the meals are good, nutritious, and in sufficient amounts?	3.81 (1227)	4.11 (255)
The first number represents a mean rating. Scale: 1=Not at all satisfied . . . 5=Very satisfied. The number in parentheses represents the number responding to this item.		

Some of the key findings were:

- Statewide, the people served by the Residential Care Facility Program reported that they were satisfied with the services they received. Statewide, the mean scores ranged from 3.80 to 4.01 (1=not satisfied...5=very satisfied).
- In this region the people were most satisfied with the environment being clean and comfortable (mean of 4.25). They were least satisfied with the content of the treatment plan (mean of 4.00).

Satisfaction with Quality of Life

How satisfied are you . . .	Total State RCF Services	Total Region RCF Services
with how you spend your day?	3.64 (1230)	3.90 (255)
with where you live?	3.71 (1220)	4.05 (255)
with the amount of choices you have in your life?	3.55 (1222)	3.76 (255)
with the opportunities/chances you have to make friends?	3.73 (1219)	3.98 (252)
with your general health care?	3.89 (1223)	4.14 (253)
with what you do during your free time?	3.79 (1222)	4.02 (255)
How safe do you feel . . .		
in this facility?	3.92 (1222)	4.26 (255)
in your neighborhood?	3.87 (1199)	4.20 (249)
<p>The first number represents a mean rating. Scale: (how satisfied are you...): 1=Not at all satisfied . . . 5=Very satisfied. Scale: (how safe do you feel...): 1=Not at all safe . . . 5=Very safe. The number in parentheses represents the number responding to this item.</p>		

Some of the key findings were:

- The Quality of Life ratings were significantly below the ratings of Residential Care Facility Services and service ratings.
- The consumers in this region were most satisfied with safety in this facility (mean of 4.26) and least satisfied with amount of choices they have in their lives (mean of 3.76).

RCF Comparison of Gender

There were no significant items to report.

RCF Comparison of Race/Ethnicity

The analysis compared the different racial and ethnic backgrounds. African Americans were most satisfied with the knowledge of the staff. (See Table I-1.)

Table I-I

RCF Consumers - Comparison of Race/Ethnicity

How satisfied are you...	White	Black	Hispanic	Native American	Other	Significance
with how much your staff know about how to get things done? (a, b)	4.22 (222)	4.32 (22)	- (0)	- (0)	3.25 (4)	F(2,247)=4.297, p=.015
<i>Scheffe Post-Hoc significance at .05 or less.</i> (a) Interaction between White and Other. (b) Interaction between Black and Other.						

RCF Comparison of Age Groups

The analysis compared the responses of consumers by three age categories: (1) youth under the age of 18 years; (2) adults between 18 and 49 years of age; and (3) adults 50 years of age and over. The consumers aged 50 and over were most satisfied with how safe they felt in the facility. (See Table I-2).

Table I-2
RCF Consumers - Comparison of Age

How satisfied are you...	0-17	18-49	50+	Significance
With how safe you feel in this agency?	- (0)	4.19 (128)	4.41 (114)	F(1,241)=4.224, p=.041
The first number represents a mean rating. <i>How satisfied are you?</i> Scale: 1=Not at all satisfied . . . 5=Very satisfied. <i>How safe do you feel?</i> Scale: 1=Not at all safe . . . 5=Very safe. The number in parentheses represents the number responding to this item.				

RCF Comparison of Forensic or Non-Forensic Consumers

There were no significant items to report.

RCF Comparison Between Those Who Were Assisted in Completing the Survey and Those Who Were Not

There were no significant items to report.

RCF Comparison of Where Consumers Would Prefer to Live

The analysis compared places consumers would prefer to live. Consumers who would prefer to stay where they were now were most satisfied with all significant items. (See Table I-3.)

Table I-3

RCF Consumers - Comparison of Where Consumers Prefer to Live

How satisfied are you...	Where I am now	Group Home	Semi-Independent	Independent	Family Member	Other	Significance
with the staff who serve you? (d, e)	4.43 (138)	3.71 (7)	4.00 (13)	4.06 (49)	3.96 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,238)=7.184, p<.001
with how much your staff know about how to get things done? (b, d)	4.45 (138)	3.57 (7)	4.17 (12)	3.96 (49)	4.00 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,237)=7.810, p<.001
with how staff keep things about you and your life confidential? (d)	4.35 (138)	3.83 (6)	4.00 (11)	3.98 (48)	4.07 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,234)=4.735, p<.001
that your treatment plan has what you want in it?	4.18 (137)	3.57 (7)	3.50 (12)	3.87 (47)	3.93 (27)	3.00 (5)	F(5,234)=3.96, p=.002
that your treatment plan is being followed by those who assist you? (d, e)	4.30 (136)	3.57 (7)	3.67 (12)	3.94 (47)	3.85 (27)	2.60 (5)	F(5,233)=6.953, p<.001
that the agency staff respect you ethnic and cultural background? (b, c, d, e)	4.51 (136)	3.71 (7)	4.00 (12)	4.02 (48)	3.89 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,234)=9.988, p<.001
with the services received? (b, d)	4.46 (138)	4.00 (7)	3.91 (11)	4.02 (49)	3.96 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,236)=7.484, p<.001
that the staff treats you with respect, courtesy, caring, and kindness? (b, d)	4.46 (137)	4.14 (7)	4.08 (12)	3.86 (49)	3.93 (27)	3.20 (5)	F(5,236)=6.537, p<.001
that the environment is clean and comfortable? (a, b, c, d)	4.51 (137)	4.00 (7)	3.67 (12)	4.00 (49)	3.92 (26)	3.00 (5)	F(5,235)=8.621, p<.001
that the meals are good, nutritious, and in sufficient amounts? (a, b, c, d)	4.51 (137)	3.71 (7)	3.58 (12)	3.69 (49)	3.59 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,236)=13.121, p<.001
with how you spend your day? (d)	4.15 (136)	3.57 (7)	3.83 (12)	3.65 (49)	3.63 (27)	2.60 (5)	F(5,235)=5.133, p<.001
with where you live? (b, d)	4.37 (136)	3.86 (7)	3.77 (13)	3.49 (49)	3.81 (27)	2.60 (5)	F(5,236)=10.975, p<.001

with the amount of choices you have in your life? (c)	4.00 (136)	3.71 (7)	3.38 (13)	3.35 (49)	3.70 (27)	2.75 (4)	F(5,235)=4.151, p=.001
with the opportunities/ chances you have to make friends?	4.15 (134)	4.00 (7)	3.62 (13)	3.77 (48)	3.85 (27)	3.00 (5)	F(5,233)=3.036, p=.011
with your general health care? (d)	4.33 (135)	4.00 (7)	3.85 (13)	3.96 (48)	3.85 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,234)=5.302, p<.001
with what you do during your free time? (d)	4.24 (136)	3.71 (7)	3.92 (13)	3.76 (49)	3.78 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,236)=4.760, p<.001
with how safe you feel in this facility? (a, d)	4.51 (136)	3.86 (7)	3.62 (13)	4.10 (49)	4.11 (27)	3.00 (5)	F(5,236)=7.604, p<.001
with how safe you feel in the neighborhood? (a, d, f)	4.44 (133)	3.83 (6)	3.62 (13)	4.00 (49)	4.15 (27)	2.80 (5)	F(5,232)=7.487, p<.001

Scheffe Post-Hoc significance at .05 or less.

(a) Interaction between Where I am Now and Semi-Independent.

(b) Interaction between Where I am Now and Independent

(c) Interaction between Where I am Now and Family Member.

(d) Interaction between Where I am Now and Other.

(e) Interaction between Independent and Other.

(f) Interaction between Family Member and Other.